

Realistic Eye Movements Optimize the Predictive Power of Visual Saliency Models

Seth D. König^{a,b} and Elizabeth A. Buffalo^{b,c}

^aWallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering at the Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, 313 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA, ^bYerkes National Primate Research Center, 954 Gatewood Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30329, USA,

^cDepartment of Neurology, Emory University School of Medicine, 1440 Clifton Road, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA.

Email: skoenig@gatech.edu & ebuffal@emory.edu

Abstract

When we freely view a natural scene, we make fixations in certain regions of the scene that attract our attention. Models that generate visual saliency maps employing basic filtering properties of the early visual system are predictive of where we make fixations [1]; however, the current methods for interpreting the saliency maps may limit the predictive power of these models because they lack realistic eye movements. We propose an additional layer to the saliency models in which a biased, correlated random walk (BCRW) interprets and predicts fixation locations during free viewing of natural scenes. The BCRW incorporates realistic eye movements into a saliency model to improve the predictive power of the model. Kullback–Leibler divergence and analysis of the area under the receiver operator characteristics curve show the BCRW better predicts fixation location and fixation order than saliency alone. Interestingly, the BCRW does not predict fixation locations during free viewing of familiar images. It is possible that the BCRW performs poorly for familiar images because memory for the images modulates fixation locations and biases fixations towards locations with significantly lower saliency. Further investigation is required to ascertain how memory interacts with saliency which would allow us to create a comprehensive model predicting both the viewing behavior during novel and familiar image.

References

1. Itti L., Koch C., and Niebur E. “A Model of Saliency-based Visual Attention for Rapid Scene Analysis.” *IEE PAMI*, **20**(11), 1254–1259 (1998).